

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
10/070,093	07/31/2002		Peter York	0113.00	7330	
21968	7590	05/17/2006		EXAMINER		
NEKTAR T			SILVERMAN, ERIC E			
SAN CARLO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	•
				1615		

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/070,093	YORK ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Eric E. Silverman, PhD	1615

	Eric E. Silverman, PhD	1615									
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress								
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.									
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)								
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final reject	ion.								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL											
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying									
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	:	•									
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 54-68 and 70-75. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE											
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 											
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a								
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.								
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)									

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments rely on the evidence submitted in the for of a declaration by Andreas Kordikowski. This declaration is deficient. With respect to item 5 in the declaration, the declaration states that it is not possible to accurately determine the degree of crystalinity from XRPD data. Therefore, the statements regarding the estimated crystalinity in the prior art (the '221 document) are deemed to be a matter of opinion, with no factually basis. It is noted that two diagrams were submitted as an Appendix to the declaration as factual evidence to support the opinion. However, these diagrams are defective in that it is impossible to determine which plot on the graph corresponds to the amorphous, crystaline, and semi-crystaline forms described in the diagrams's legends. With regard to item 6 of the declaration, this is also deemed to be a matter of opinion, with no factual basis. There is no discussion of the scientific or technical reasoning that gives rise the the opinion presented therein. Since the declaration is defective for the reasons discussed above, Applicants' arguments, which rely on the statements made in the declaration, cannot be deemed persuasive.

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600